



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 . Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/683,729	02/07/2002	Wen-Sung Tsai	CEIP0037USA	8659
27765 759 NORTH AMERIC	oo 03/20/200 CA INTELLECTUA	EXAM	INER	
P.O. BOX 506		AMINI, JAVID A		
MERRIFIELD, VA 22116			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2628	
SHORTENED STATUTORY F	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
2 MONTHS 03/20/2007		03/20/2007	ELECT	RONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 2 MONTHS from 03/20/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

winstonhsu.uspto@gmail.com Patent.admin.uspto.Rcv@naipo.com mis.ap.uspto@naipo.com.tw



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Application Number: 09/683,729 Filing Date: February 07, 2002 Appellant(s): TSAI, WEN-SUNG

MAILED

MAR 2 0 2007

Technology Center 2600

Winston Hsu For Appellant

Supplemental **EXAMINER'S ANSWER**

This is in response to the appeal brief filed October 20, 2006 appealing from the Office action mailed October 11, 2006.

Art Unit: 3663

(1) Real Party in Interest

A statement identifying by name the real party in interest is contained in the brief.

(2) Related Appeals and Interferences

The examiner is not aware of any related appeals, interferences, or judicial proceedings which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

(3) Status of Claims

The statement of the status of claims contained in the brief is correct.

(4) Status of Amendments After Final

The appellant's statement of the status of amendments after final rejection contained in the brief is incorrect.

Claim 13 has been canceled in the amended appeal brief filed October 10, 2006.

(5) Summary of Claimed Subject Matter

The summary of claimed subject matter contained in the brief is correct.

Art Unit: 3663

(6) Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal

The appellant's statement of the grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal is correct.

(7) Claims Appendix

The copy of the appealed claims contained in the Appendix to the brief is correct.

(8) Evidence Relied Upon

6147664	•	HANSEN	11-2000
5032828		HIROSE ET AL	7-1991

(9) Grounds of Rejection

The following ground(s) of rejection are applicable to the appealed claims:

The Claimed Invention	The 103 Rejection	
	Hansen (6,147,664) in view of Hirose	
	(5,032,828)	
Claim 6. A display device for a	Hansen discloses (Fig. 7) a display	
computer system comprising:	device for a computer system (550)	
	comprising:	

Art Unit: 3663

a screen for displaying a picture image	Hansen discloses (Fig. 7) a screen
for a user in front of the screen;	(200) for displaying a picture image;
a plurality of sensors for detecting	Hansen discloses (Fig. 7) a plurality of
different colors of ambient light and	ambient light sensors (580a and 580b)
generating corresponding detecting	(column 14, lines 28-31) for detecting
signals; and	different ambient light intensities
\	(brightness levels) and generating a
	corresponding detecting signal (Fig. 9
	shows a brightness voltage signal 312)
	(Column 15, lines 5-20); and
a controller for adjusting color levels of	Hansen discloses (Fig. 9) a controller
the picture image displayed on the	(brightness control circuit 300) for
screen according to the detecting	adjusting brightness levels of the screen
signals.	(200) according to the detecting signal
·	(brightness voltage signal 312) (column
	15, lines 5-20 and column 16, lines 54-
	58).
The difference	Hirose (5,032,828)
The only difference between the	However, Hirose discloses (Figs. 1-4)
disclosure of Hansen and the claimed	a plurality of different colors light
invention is that the claim requires a	sensors (16a and 16b) and a controller
plurality of color light sensors, instead of	(17) for adjusting color levels of the

Art Unit: 3663

the ambient brightness sensor of	picture image displayed on the screen
Hansen.	(11) (Column 3, line 18 to column 4,
	line 44).
	The Reason to Combine the
	References
	Therefore, since Hansen mentions that
	a number of different ambient light
	sensors can be used for detecting
	ambient light (column 15, lines 51-52),
	it is obvious to a person of ordinary
	skill in the art to use the different
	colors light sensors (16) of Hirose in
	place of the ambient light sensor (580)
	of Hansen to adjust both of the color
	and brightness of the color images
	displayed on the screen of Hansen.
<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Art Unit: 3663

Regarding claims 7 and 9, Hansen discloses (Fig. 9) a brightness control circuitry (300).

Regarding claim 10, Hansen discloses (Fig. 9) the brightness of light detected by the sensor is compared to a predetermined value (reference voltage 635).

Regarding claim 12, Hirose discloses (Figs. 2-4) a plurality of different colors light sensors (16a and 16b) and a controller (17) for adjusting color levels of the picture image displayed on the screen (11). See column 3, line 18 to column 4, line 44. It is obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the different colors light sensors of Hirose into the computer display device of Hansen to provide an image display device, which enables both the color hue and brightness of the images can be adjusted.

Regarding claim 14, Hansen discloses the FED flat panel display screen.

However, it is well known in the art that the LCD flat panel display screen and the FED flat panel display screen are interchangeable.

(10) Response to Argument

On page 3, stating line 25, Appellant argues "Hirose does not and should not measure ambient light". However, Appellant must aware that the examiner uses the Hansen reference for the purpose of teaching the ambient light sensors for measuring ambient light, not Hirose.

Art Unit: 3663

"Hansen discloses (Fig. 7) a plurality of ambient light sensors (580a and 580b)

(column 14, lines 28-31) for detecting different ambient light intensities

(brightness levels) and generating a corresponding detecting signal (Fig. 9 shows a brightness voltage signal 312) (Column 15, lines 5-20)"

Furthermore, claim 6, line 3 recites "a plurality of sensors for detecting different colors of ambient light". This limitation is "broad". It does not require specific colors of light such as Red, Green, and Blue being detected. Naturally, the ambient light itself has many different colors to begin with, i.e., it contains many light spectra with different light wavelengths, and thus different colors of light. Therefore, the ambient light sensors (580a and 580b) of Hansen definitely detect the ambient brightness and also "different colors of ambient light".

Furthermore, since Hanson teaches a screen (200) for displaying a <u>color picture</u> <u>image</u>, one skilled in the art must realize that the ambient light sensors of Hansen can adjust the brightness of the image as well as the color of the image on the display screen of Hansen.

Reasons for Combining Hirose With Hansen

Hirose discloses (Figs. 1-4) a plurality of different colors light sensors (16a and 16b) and a controller (17) for adjusting color levels of the picture image displayed on the screen (11). See column 4, lines 1-44.

Art Unit: 3663

Since Hanson teaches a number of different ambient light sensors do exist for detecting ambient light (column 15, lines 51-52), it is obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the different colors light sensors (16) of Hirose in place of the ambient light sensors (580) of Hansen to adjust both of the color and brightness of the color image displayed on the Hansen's screen.

Furthermore, examiner also notices that the Hansen's ambient light sensor (580b) (Fig. 7) is positioned in front of the flat screen (200) for detecting the ambient light thereof. Now, by looking at Fig. 1 of Hirose, the color light sensor (16) is also positioned in front of the flat screen (12). Naturally, one skilled in the art can easily realize that the color light sensor (16) of Hirose is also functioning as "an ambient light sensor" in as much as the Hansen's ambient light sensor (580b) does.

Furthermore, the Hirose reference discloses (Figs. 2-3) a plurality of different colors light sensors (16a and 16b), wherein the sensor (16a) for detecting a blue color level and another sensor (16b) for detecting a different red color level. See column 3, lines 33-34; and column 4, lines 1-5. Therefore, a plurality of different colors light sensors (16a and 16b) read on the limitation "a plurality of sensors for detecting different color of ambient light".

(11) Related Proceeding(s) Appendix

No decision rendered by a court or the Board is identified by the examiner in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of this examiner's answer.

For the above reasons, it is believed that the rejections should be sustained.

Respectfully submitted,

M. Luu

Conferees:

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Amare Mengistu

KEE M. TUNG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

MATTHEW LUU PRIMARY EXAMINER